Chief, I & S Staff

A/8, Z, 9, 48

Bluobird Toam

Modical Summary File No.: 8/3 - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

The medication commissioned was Sodium Amytal intraveneusly, 55 solution given at the rate of 1 or a minute. The stimulants were Sensodrine intraveneusly and Pierotonian intraveneusly

The total amount of Sedium Amytal administered was 16 cc or 0.8 gm. The amount of the Denzedrine solution totaled 5 cc or 20 mg was given and 1.5 cc of Pierotoxin.

The medication was begun at 1040. At 1106, after 11 cc had been administered, the Subject complained of blurred vision. At 1112, following the administration of 12 cc (total), his eyes comed heavy. At 1121, efter the total administration of 16 cc, he was found to be yawning. At 1129, he was saleop but could be fairly easily aroused. At 1212, the subconccious isolation was accomplished. At 1215, he was repressed and cydibited the emotional reactions appropriate to the occasion. At 1247, the stimulant was begun, that is, benedine intravenously. At 1252, a total of 5 cc had been administered and although the Subject could be aroused, he still seemed quite cleepy. At 1221, Picrotoxin was given, a total of 1.5 cc, At 1226, the Subject was andre. Noteror, in the afternoon after having had his lunch, he was visited in his room at 1445 and was found to be sleeping soundly.

The Subject had amnesia for the bransference. He had no knowled to of any purpose for the medication other than that of helping him to relax in order to note a better showing on the D. B. menine.

SIGHED

\_\_\_\_

; \_

.

والمناهية والمعارض والمراجون

limited.

B/3

Case #2

In June 152 using a combined Artichoke beautique of drur-mynosia and a straight drug approach. Hence, it was across by members of the Artichoke Penn in consultation with the case officers had it would be unnicessary to annly Artichoke methods in this case again, but use the case for the Case of the Artichoke methods in this case again, but use the case for the experimentation. It was felt by all hands that was an 10551 lost case, since he had been treated by the Artichoke technique previously and results could be cross-checked tespoid also be noted that the gase officer who worked with the gase in June was brought from the sole purpose of working on this case. The following the was managed in a very complex operation out of and, hence, his time was very for the sale purpose.

Physical details and background history of Gase #2 was set out in great detail in the June 1952 report by the Artichoke Team and is not being repeated herein.

 On 28 Aurust 1982 10:15 AM, the subject was brought to the Safe House by And guards and taken immediately to his room and procedure's set out in June were followed as before. 0

B/G

At 1:35 Fig. a conference was called and the following ware to attend: (interpretor).

Sup Gaso Office excluded (lease)

the Gase Officer excluded Hestrick A paper of the writer nor made objections to this on the basis A that we would be represented at must it was the Articipoke Tramite view that no problems should be placed in the way of This conference ended at 2:30 PM.

At 2:35 Pd. the subject was taken to the operations room with the room by the subject beer at this time had been drugged with a very heavy desage of the three substances being used. It appears that on the basis of the known facts in this case and the subject's high intelffered and robust opysique that the heavy desage had been agreed upon by the subject physique that the heavy desage had been agreed upon by the subject had completed drinking his bottle of drugged been and at 6:06 PM, the first seasion ended and the subject was taken to his ledroom. At 6:30 PM, the subject had chimer was served the subject had his room and at this time he was given another bottle of beer which had been drugged. At 7:20 PK, the subject had finished his meal. He had consumed all of his beer but had not eaten much of his food.

At 7:10. Icalled another conference with and again excluded. And a size of and at 5:25 lm, the second session began with the subject with the operations room.

At approximately 6:30 PM, beer was prepared for all individuals in the operations room and the subject was given a heavily drugued bottle of beer. At 10:10 PM, the subject had finished his bottle of beer, and all hands laft the operations room for a short time. Setupen 10:17 and 10:55 Fm, the conference ended against the conference with the conference ended against the subject. At 12:05 Pm, the conference ended against the room, apparently on instructions from and rejoined the subject. At 12:05 Pm, this session ended by agreement and this case was concluded on the conference with the recessity for the beautiful this bedroom and in view of the necessity for the subject returned to his bedroom the view of the necessity for the scale was considered terminated.

-11-

MOTE: On Friday, 29 August 1952, the artichoke Team removed the Luchnical equipment from the safe house near and transferred it to the land transferred in which the interrogation was carried on at land were suitable only for monitoring purposes and a special mirror was not placed in these rooms. Hence, whereas much of the information in the first two cases was by direct eye-witness and monitoring, the following cases were monitored only. After the handling of the first two cases, which were hard-core agent types, the staff Officers and the same types of the supporting of the types for processing by at his request. Cases 3, 1, and 5 were

the upon tip of the processing by the upon tip of the request. These of the processing by the upon the request the set of the type and each individual had been given the "deluxe" treatment -- they had been placed in comfortable nouses, had not been confined in any way, had been given spending money and a great amount of freedom. They had not been harassed in any manner and no threats had been made, even though all of them had given stories which were not wholly factual and which were in parts evasive and at times, paloably false.

On Saturday, 30 August 1952, after a brief conference at 9:05 Avi with all hands pragent Case //3, the first session started at 9:35 Avi and the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room.

A B // Sinterporation room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room. This first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the operations room at the first session started at 9:35 Avi at the

of view, a particularly valuable subject.

At 9:10 AM, coffee was prepared and a bitter tasting substance (quinning subphase --2-) was placed in the subject's cue. This was

done on instructions from the because he explained that he wished to make the subjects coffee taste bitter. No other commical was added at this time. Then carried the coffee has made and more of the bitter substance was placed in the subject's coffee and carried into the operations room by

At 10:50 A4, the subject was given a bettle of heavily drugged beer while all others in the room were served uncontaminated bottlessof beer. At 11:22 A4, the subject had consumed the entire bottle of beer.

At 12:30 PH, the session ended and all hands stopped for lunch with no significant comments made at this time.

At 1:30 PM, the second session began with this subject with again in the operations room. At 2:50 PH, another bottle of beer was prepared and at 3:00 PM, the drugged beer was taken in and given to the subject.

At 1:02 PM, the officers monitoring the interrocation were informed that direct questions were now being put to the subject whereas previously the discussions had been friently and informal.

• At 1:25 PM Come into the monitoring room and advised that the subject, in going to the bathroom, had stumbled and fallen apparently in an intoxicated manner. At 5:25 PN, this session ended and session ended and session

At 5:37 PN, a meneral conference, was held by all hands including the factor of the monitoring rect. The artist in the monitoring rect. The artist is time inted some enthusiasm on the part of a factor of the technique but under direct questioning by the writer, certain, obvious we knoses appeared. It was the writer's opinion the fad them perhaps "psychologically conditioned" by previously talking the fand their opinions were not collisionattial. This was later confirmed by talking with and and and the factor of the

NOTE: The writer feels that it is advisable at this time to point out that during the course of the day's sessions, this subject drank only three bottles of beer yet, according to eye-witness reports, he appeared intoxicated, stumbled and fell going to the bathroom. According to the subject was able to drink many times this amount and the writer believes the use of drugs could not be called surrepticious since any intelligent person would wonder why after only three bottles of beer he had become intoxicated and fallen.

The writer also feels that he should noint out that this subject altered or changed several of his previously given statements during the day's sessions.

0

The writer asked bold and whether there was any more reason to believe his statements now than previously and and at this time admitted that they could not be certain as to which statement was nearer the truth. No other significant comments were made at this time.

CASE #L

On 30 August 1952 (Sunday), the experimental Team interrogated

Case What This subject.

As stated before, he mad previously made false and evasive statements to interrogators and his story to date had contained numerous discrepancies.

At 9:40 AM, a general conference was held with all hands present. At 10:17 AM, the conference ended and at 10:24 escorted the subject into the operations room and shortly thereafter he was joined by the operations room previously.

At 10:40 AH, coffee was prepared with drugs and at 10:50 AM (approximately) this coffee was carried into the operations poom with the drugged coffee being given to the subject because At 11:07 AM, more coffee was requested and this was sent in. This coffee was not drugged.

At 11:21 AM, the subject was given drugged beer by who had left the room and returned carrying the beer.

The morning session ended at 1:21 PM and the entire group adjourned for lunch.

At 2:32 PH, the second seasion began with this subject with attending. At 2:50 PH drugged coffee was prepared and given to the subject. This coffee was finished by the subject at 3:20 PM.

The interrogation continued until  $4:50~\mathrm{PH}$  when non-contaminated beer was taken into the operation: row. At 5:30 PH, the session ended and the subject was escorted out by

B/6

Immediately thereafter, a conveil conference was held in the operations room wis and and attending. The writer, who was not asked to join the unit discussion, monitored it and noted a disagreement between and as to results obtained although both indicated they thought the previous day's session was more successful. In general, the results were totally negative. This conference was concluded at 6:00 PM.

CASE #5

в/6 В/3 On 3 Gentember 1952, Wendesday, Case 35 was interrogated by the technique. This case has Tils subject was the never been questioned in detail but had only been engaged in rependiconversations. Hence, he appeared to be ideal material for the technique.

A3/6

At 9:18 At an analysis brought the subject into the operations room and were joined immediately thereafter by

At 9:37 Am, coffee was prepared and heavily drugged for the subject and given to him. Py 10:05 AM, the subject had finished this coffee and at 10:21 AM, drugged beer was given to the subject. Again at 11:21 AM, another bottle of beer was heavily drugged and given to the subject. At 11:45 AM, all beer was finished and at 12:41 PM, the morning session ended and all hands adjourned for lunch.

A B/6 The afternoon session began at 1:45 PH with an analysis in the operations room. A few minutes later, a bottle of heavily drugged beer was civen to the subject which he finished at approximately 2:50 PM. At 4:05 PM, the session ended and the subject left the operations room. Petween 1:05 PM and 1:22 PM, a conference was held with session to the subject left the operations room. Petween 1:05 PM and 1:22 PM, a conference was held with